

## Appendix A(i): Summary Exception Report (Worse or better than target)

Symbol Key		
Performance band	Symbol	Colour
Better than target	+	Green
Worse than target	◆	Red

Performance Indicators - Red - Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee						
Measure Name	Actual Dec 2012	Target Dec 2012	Actual Mar 2013	Target Mar 2013	Head of Service Comment and Action	Scrutiny Committee Date
Number of children subject to a Child Protection Plan per 10,000 children	21.79	19.00	21.22	19.00	The number of children subject to a Child Protection has reduced slightly from the last quarter but is still above the target. The numbers are being closely monitored by both SMT and Conference chairs and the children subject to a plan are deemed appropriate. [Comment provided by Roz Cordy]	14 June 2013
Performance Indicators (7 month lag) - Red - Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee						
Measure Name	Actual Aug 2011	Target Aug 2011	Actual Aug 2012	Target Aug 2012	Head of Service Comment and Action	Scrutiny Committee Date
The percentage point gap between pupils eligible for free schools meals (FSM) achieving at least Level 4 in English and Maths at Key Stage (KS) 2 and pupils ineligible for FSM achieving the same outcome.	26.00	19.00	21.00	18.00	The Free School Meal Gap at Key Stage 2 narrowed by 6 percentage points in 2012, and is now below the average of our "statistical neighbour" authorities. The introduction of the "Pupil Premium" and extension of the criteria for counting Free School Meal Eligible pupils means that there is a greater focus from Ofsted regarding the performance of this cohort. Lincolnshire School Improvement Service are working closely with schools to direct these additional funds towards achieving the best outcomes for those pupils who are eligible. Early intervention work through Children's Centres and schools is narrowing the gap in achievement within the Foundation Stage, and this will eventually feed through to the measure at Key Stage 2. [Comment provided by Keith Batty]	14 June 2013

Performance Indicators (7 month lag) - Red - Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee

Measure Name	Actual Aug 2011	Target Aug 2011	Actual Aug 2012	Target Aug 2012	Head of Service Comment and Action	Scrutiny Committee Date	Scrutiny Committee Outcome
The percentage point gap between pupils eligible for FSM achieving 5A*-C grades at GCSE (and equivalent), including GCSE English and Maths, at KS4 and pupils ineligible for FSM achieving the same outcome.	32.07	31.00	32.30	30.00	<p>The Free School Meal gap remains high compared to national figures, but is comparable to other Shire authorities. The actual performance of FSM eligible pupils is comparable to our peers; however, Lincolnshire is a high performing authority at Key Stage 4 and this contributes to the gap calculation. The introduction of the "Pupil Premium" and extension of the criteria for counting Free School Meal Eligible pupils means that there is a greater focus from Ofsted regarding the performance of this cohort. Lincolnshire School Improvement Service are working closely with schools to direct these additional funds towards achieving the best outcomes for those pupils who are eligible. The Raising of the Participation Age agenda will also ensure that this gap is narrowed by ensuring young people continue to learn post statutory education. [Comment provided by Keith Batty]</p>	14 June 2013	

## Appendix A(ii): Council Priority Activities with comments

Date created: 08-Apr-13  
Position as at 31-Mar-13

Symbol Key			
	Green - No Issues		Blue - No significant concerns
	Red - Significant issue(s)		Not yet started
	Missing information		

Activity Name	Overall Summary		Comment
	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	
<b>Children &amp; Young People Scrutiny Committee</b>			
<b>Programme</b>			
Raising the Participation Age			<p>Progress is in line with plan. The Department for Education have published statutory guidance on 'The participation of young people in education employment or training for local authorities' at the end of March 2013. An assessment of readiness to meet the statutory duties will be completed during April.</p> <p><b>Scrutiny Comments</b> The Committee considered an update on the programme in the quarter 3 performance report received at the meeting on 1 March 2013. The Committee was satisfied with the progress being made on the programme. The issues around this programme were discussed as part of the report on Information, Advice and Guidance and will be further discussed as part of other reports relating to post-16 changes, namely Improving Access to Post-16 Learning Provision in Lincolnshire Action Plan – Second Monitoring Update and Schools Sixth Forms which will both be considered by the Committee at the meeting on 14 June 2013. An update on the Raising the Participation Age programme is due to a future meeting.</p>

Activity Name	Overall Summary		Comment
	Feb 2013	Mar 2013	
Project			
FWT Troubled Families	◆	◆	<p>Work is ongoing to identify families and meet the requirements of the Troubled Families Unit in Whitehall. We are currently behind targets for the number of families: identified; engaged with; and for whom we have produced a successful outcome.</p> <p>We are taking measures to rectify this through the expansion of the Operational team, the majority of which will come through secondments from partner organisations. We are confident that these additions will enable the project to effectively meet objectives in the medium-to-long-term.</p> <p><b>Scrutiny Comments</b> The Committee considered this project for the first time at its meeting on 1st March 2013. The Committee was satisfied with the progress made but highlighted that a major issue will be sustainability and further funding in order to maintain the benefits in the future. The Committee requested a statistical report in 12 months time to update on what progress has been made on the project.</p> <p>Start-up funding has been released to 31 partnerships (involving 130 schools). Year 1 partnership funding has now been released to 21 of these groups. Impact analysis to assess the effect of collaborative working on small schools is now underway and will continue.</p> <p><b>Scrutiny Comments</b> The Committee considered two reports on this project at its meetings on 3 February 2012 and 20 July 2012. The Committee strongly supported the proposed project. The proposals for this project were also discussed as part of the reports received at the meetings on 7 September 2012 and 19 October 2012 on School Funding Arrangements for 2013/14. The Committee considered an update on the project in the quarter 3 performance report received at the meeting on 1 March 2013 and was satisfied with the progress being made on the project.</p> <p>The project continues to make good progress. Instructions have been sent to LSL to draft agreements for 6 youth centres; a further centre is awaiting approval by the DoR and discussions are underway with a further 11 centres. 1 centre is in the process of being sold and 1 centre will remain with LCC but with other organisations timetabled to deliver activities.</p> <p><b>Scrutiny Comments</b> The Committee considered two reports on this project at its meetings on 20 April 2012 and 19 October 2012. The Committee was satisfied with the progress being made with the project and requested that the next update sets out what is happening to the youth workers employed at the youth centres, how many young people are engaged and how many activities are taking place. The Committee considered an update on the project in the quarter 3 performance report received at the meeting on 1 March 2013 and was satisfied with the progress being made on the project. An update report on this programme is due to come to a future meeting.</p>
Small Schools Collaboration	●	●	
Youth and Community Development	●	●	